



## ALS now offers US clients PFAS testing of air emissions using EPA OTM-50

EPA and state regulators in the US continue to seek new data about per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) in air emissions to shape expanding requirements for industries such as waste incineration, chemicals manufacturing, refining and waste management. To support all our stakeholders – regulators, consultants and industrial operators – with robust atmospheric data, ALS now offers volatile PFAS and other volatile fluorinated compound testing by EPA Other Test Method 50 (OTM-50).

If you're planning PFAS air monitoring programs or evaluating destruction technologies for PFAS-containing wastes and process streams, insights from OTM-50 can help you design stack and ambient monitoring programs, assess PFAS destruction efficiency, and generate defensible data for evolving federal and state PFAS expectations. The following technical information details the EPA OTM-50 testing for North America we're now conducting using high-sensitivity GC-MS/MS at our Waterloo, Ontario center of excellence.

ALS has recently introduced testing for volatile PFAS in air by the latest U.S. EPA standard method for atmospheric PFAS in our Waterloo, Ontario location. First released in January 2024, and referred to as *Other Test Method 50* (OTM-50). OTM-50 utilizes canister sampling and GC-MS analysis, and was designed to monitor stack emissions from facilities such as waste incinerators for the presence of volatile PFAS degradation intermediates that are not amenable to testing by other available methods. This type of testing is intended to ensure

that PFAS are fully eliminated before stack emissions enter the atmosphere. While destruction of precursor PFAS contaminants is feasible at lower temperatures, complete destruction of the intermediates, which are also mostly organofluorine compounds, requires a much higher temperature. If full mineralization is not achieved, incineration or other destruction technologies can simply transform and recycle PFAS back to the environment via the atmosphere in other forms.



Fig 1. Industrial Emissions – potential source of volatile PFAS

Testing of emissions by the OTM-50 method helps to ensure destruction processes are optimized for complete PFAS destruction to break the cycle of PFAS transfer to atmosphere, and to reduce the global load of PFAS and organofluorines on aqueous and terrestrial environments.

## Occurrence and Sources of Atmospheric PFAS

Graphic illustrations of PFAS cycling in the environment usually identify the atmosphere as a key compartment in the cycle. Of the potential sources, much attention has been given to fluorochemical manufacturing plants, although collectively general manufacturing facilities using PFAS, landfills, wastewater treatment plants, waste and sewage sludge incineration, firefighting foam use, and a broad range of PFAS-treated household and personal care products represent the most significant sources of PFAS to the global atmosphere.

A better understanding of the PFAS atmospheric transport and deposition cycle and the means to break it are urgently needed. Improved testing of PFAS in air and emissions is a first step! Until recently, reliable test methods for volatile PFAS in air have been largely unavailable and as a result atmospheric PFAS have been much less studied than PFAS in soil, water, biota, and humans.




Fig 2. Common sources of PFAS to the atmosphere

## Atmospheric PFAS Groupings

Atmospheric studies in recent years have identified several novel PFAS contaminants, along with many of the legacy PFAS that we wouldn't consider volatile, but which hitch a ride to the atmosphere on particulates and other aerosols. The U.S. EPA has segregated atmospheric PFAS into four broad groupings according to polarity and volatility, as shown in Figure 3. Due to their dissimilar properties, different testing technologies are required for each of these classes.

The **polar semi-volatile PFAS group** includes many PFAS currently covered by LC-MS/MS




Fig 3. Atmospheric PFAS groupings by properties & analytical technique [US EPA (2024)].

PFAS test methods such as U.S. EPA 1633. Airborne substances can be sampled using OTM-45.

While few relevant **polar volatile group** compounds have been identified, these would require testing by gas chromatography using polar columns.

**Nonpolar semi-volatiles** include fluorotelomer alcohols, trifluoroacetic acid (TFA), and ultrashorts, sulfonamides, sulfonamide ethanols, acrylates and methacrylates, olefins and unsaturated carboxylic acids, FOSAA (sulfonamido acetic acids), mono- and diPAPs (fluorotelomer phosphate mono- and diesters), and iodides, among others. There is currently no standard method for these compounds.

Measurement of **nonpolar volatile substances** is covered by OTM-50. These compounds are largely comprised of refrigerants and fluorinated alkanes and alkenes. Refrigerants may be released during their manufacturing, and from the use and disposal of refrigeration, air conditioning, and heat pump systems, as well as from some aerosol spray consumer products. Fluorinated alkanes and alkenes can be products of incomplete combustion (PIC), and are typically produced from PFAS through loss of a carboxylate or sulfonate group due to heating or some other energetic chemical reaction. The U.S. EPA studied these processes extensively during incineration trials used in the development of EPA Method OTM-50.

## Environmental/Health Hazards & Regulatory Status

There is still much to learn about the environmental and health hazards of airborne PFAS, and regulatory limits are largely absent, partly due to a historical lack of developed test methods. Human exposures are primarily through inhalation, either to gaseous volatile PFAS or longer chain PFAS sorbed to respirable particulate matter (PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub>). For compounds like fluorotelomer alcohols (commonly found in indoor air) and for TFA, ultrashort- and short-chain PFAS (commonly found in outdoor air) concerns are more related to exposure due to elevated levels being found in these environments. The concerns with older generation refrigerants relate to greenhouse warming potential, and fluorinated alkanes and alkenes are potent greenhouse gases. Concerns with new generation hydrofluoroolefin refrigerants pertain to TFA formation. Ecological and human health risks are poorly understood.

Despite the EPA's publication of two methods for stack emission monitoring (OTM-50 and OTM-45), there are no U.S. federal requirements for their use. At the international level, no ambient outdoor air quality standards or enforceable numeric limits for PFAS currently exist (e.g., WHO, EU, USA, Canada, Australia). At this time, there are also no OSHA or NIOSH occupational exposure limits, although the American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists (ACGIH) has published industrial hygiene threshold limit values (TLVs) for some specific PFAS derivatives. Several jurisdictions globally are developing screening and management guidelines.

## OTM-50 Sampling Details

Sample collection for OTM-50 is relatively simple compared to the EPA companion method OTM-45. A 1.4L evacuated canister is connected to the sampling port of a stack via a heated probe tube fitted with a particulate filter. After purging the equipment, a sample is collected with sampling time ranging from a few minutes up to one hour, until a final canister pressure of 5-8 inches of mercury is achieved. Background and duplicate samples are recommended and an intermediate impinger may be required in cases of high moisture or acid gases. Canisters and fittings are provided by ALS (cleaned, proofed, and ready to use), using dedicated coolers for transport to eliminate cross-contamination from other samples.



Fig 4. Field sampler assembling sampling probe and inlet filter for OTM-50 canister sampling.

## Laboratory Proofing and GC-MS/MS Test Method

In the laboratory all canisters and fittings are cleaned and inspected by methods that exceed those specified by OTM-50. While Certificates of Analysis always include test results from the batch proof canister associated with your sampling campaign, *all canisters are individually proofed after cleaning*, and canister-specific proofing results are available for reporting by request.

The laboratory equipment for this test includes canister pressurization and a system to automatically move canisters one at a time to the sample introduction interface. The required sample volume is then transferred to a sorbent trap where it is concentrated. Next, the trap is heated to release the trapped compounds onto the chromatographic column. While OTM-50 specifies standard single quadrupole GC-MS equipment as the minimum requirement for instrumentation, ALS uses a GC-MS/MS triple-quadrupole system with multiple reaction mode (MRM) transitions for enhanced elimination of interference and improved sensitivity. Our use of GC-MS/MS provides detection limits low enough to support the use of this method for monitoring ambient or indoor air for the OTM-50 compound list.

Please refer to Table 1 for a summary of key method details, and refer to Table 2 for a complete list of parameters, short names, CAS numbers, Limits of Reporting (LOR), and chemical formulae. Test results are normally provided with units of both parts-per-billion by volume (ppbv) and  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ . This method has been extensively validated by the ALS Waterloo laboratory, and an ISO 17025



accreditation application is in progress (refer to ALS Waterloo's CALA [scope of accreditation](#) for current status).

We gratefully acknowledge Agilent Technologies and Entech Instruments for their support and collaboration with the development of this method.

Please contact your ALS Project Manager for more information about this important new test service.



Fig 5. Automated GC-MS/MS instrumentation for OTM-50

Table 1. Test Method & Sampling Details

ALS Test codes	E695 (ppbv units) EC695 (ug/m3 units)
Analytical Method	GC-MS/MS
Sample Containers	1.4L Silonite canisters
Reference method	US EPA OTM-50
Holding Time	30 days

Table 2. ALS Parameter List for OTM-50

Parameter Name	Short Name	ALS LOR (ppbv)	CAS#	Chemical Formula
Chlorodifluoromethane	HCFC-22	0.002	75-45-6	CHClF <sub>2</sub>
Chlorotrifluoromethane	CFC-13	0.002	75-72-9	CF <sub>3</sub> Cl
Decafluorobutane	DFB	0.002	355-25-9	C <sub>4</sub> F <sub>10</sub>
Difluoromethane	HFC-32	0.002	75-10-5	CH <sub>2</sub> F <sub>2</sub>
Dodecafluoropentane		0.002	678-26-2	C <sub>5</sub> F <sub>12</sub>
Fluoromethane	HFC-41	0.002	593-53-3	CH <sub>3</sub> F
1H-Heptafluoropropane	HFC-227ea	0.002	2252-84-8	C <sub>3</sub> HF <sub>7</sub>
Heptafluoropropyl-1,2,2,2-tetrafluoroethyl	E1	0.002	3330-15-2	C <sub>5</sub> HF <sub>11</sub> O
Hexadecafluoroheptane		0.002	335-57-9	C <sub>7</sub> F <sub>16</sub>
Hexafluoroethane	FC-116	0.002	76-16-4	C <sub>2</sub> F <sub>6</sub>
Hexafluoropropene	HFP	0.002	116-15-4	C <sub>3</sub> F <sub>6</sub>
Hexafluoropropylene oxide	HFPO	0.010	428-59-1	C <sub>3</sub> F <sub>6</sub> O
1H-Nonafluorobutane		0.002	375-17-7	C <sub>4</sub> HF <sub>9</sub>
Octadecafluorooctane		0.002	307-34-6	C <sub>8</sub> F <sub>18</sub>
Octafluorocyclobutane	FC-C318	0.002	115-25-3	C <sub>4</sub> F <sub>8</sub>
Octafluorocyclopentene	FC-C1418	0.002	559-40-0	C <sub>5</sub> F <sub>8</sub>
Octafluoropropane	R-218	0.002	76-19-7	C <sub>3</sub> F <sub>8</sub>
1,1,1,2,2-Pentafluoroethane	HFC-125	0.002	354-33-6	C <sub>2</sub> HF <sub>5</sub>
2H-Perfluoro-5-methyl-3,6,dioxanonane	E2	0.002	3330-14-1	C <sub>8</sub> HF <sub>17</sub> O <sub>2</sub>
1H-Perfluoroheptane		0.002	375-83-7	C <sub>7</sub> HF <sub>15</sub>
1H-Perfluorohexane		0.002	355-37-3	C <sub>6</sub> HF <sub>13</sub>
1H-Perfluorooctane		0.002	335-65-9	C <sub>8</sub> HF <sub>17</sub>
1H-Perfluoropentane		0.002	375-61-1	C <sub>5</sub> HF <sub>11</sub>
Tetradecafluorohexane		0.002	355-42-0	C <sub>6</sub> F <sub>14</sub>
1,1,1,2-Tetrafluoroethane	HFC-134a	0.002	811-97-2	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub> F <sub>4</sub>
Tetrafluoroethene	TFE	0.002	116-14-3	C <sub>2</sub> F <sub>4</sub>
Tetrafluoromethane	R-14	0.002	75-73-0	CF <sub>4</sub>
Trichlorofluoromethane	CFC-11	0.002	75-69-4	CCl <sub>3</sub> F
1,1,1-Trifluoroethane	HFC-143a	0.002	420-46-2	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>3</sub> F <sub>3</sub>
Trifluoromethane	HFC-23	0.002	75-46-7	CHF <sub>3</sub>

## References

- De Silva, A.O. et al. (2021). PFAS Exposure Pathways for Humans and Wildlife: A Synthesis of Current Knowledge and Key Gaps in Understanding. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry* 40, 3, 631–657.  
<https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.4935>
- Sangkham, S. et al. (2025). Specific Ambient PM<sub>2.5</sub>-Bound Perfluoroalkyl and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS): A Prominent Human Health Risk Issue That Deserves Attention? *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 59, 14780–14783.  
<https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.5c07694>
- Shields, E.P. (2023). Pilot-Scale Thermal Destruction of Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances in a Legacy Aqueous Film Forming Foam. *ACS EST Engg.*, 3, 1308–1317. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsestengg.3c00098>
- [U.S. EPA \(2024\). EPA Tools & Resources Webinar: EPA's PFAS Air Emissions Measurement Methods. Stephen R. Jackson, Center for Environmental Measurement and Modeling, Office of Research and Development, October 16, 2024.](#)
- [U.S. EPA \(2025\). Other Test Method 50 \(OTM-50\) Sampling and Analysis of Volatile Fluorinated Compounds from Stationary Sources Using Passivated Stainless-Steel Canisters, Revision 0, January 14, 2025, Measurement Technology Group, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards.](#)
- Wallace, M.A.G. et al. (2024). A Review of Sample Collection and Analytical Methods for Detecting Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances in Indoor and Outdoor air. *Chemosphere* 358,142129.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2024.142129>
- Wang, J. et al. (2022). Critical Review of Thermal Decomposition of Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances: Mechanisms and Implications for Thermal Treatment Processes. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 56, 5355–5370.  
<https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.2c02251>